Title

How we (mis)conceptualise p-values (and we can do about it)

Authors:

Dr Michael Tam, Academic Primary and Integrated Care Unit, South Western Sydney Local Health District, Ingham Institute of Applied Medical Research, UNSW Sydney

Mr Abeer Khan, UNSW Medicine

Dr Andrew Knight, Academic Primary and Integrated Care Unit, South Western Sydney Local Health District, Ingham Institute of Applied Medical Research, UNSW Sydney

A/Prof Joel Rhee, University of Wollongong

Dr Karen Price, Monash University

Dr Katrina McLean, Bond University

Background

Researchers and clinicians have been criticised for frequently misinterpreting and misusing p-values. P-values are often misinterpreted as providing far stronger evidence than is actually the case, which not only has harmful impacts on the understanding of medical research, but potentially on the delivery of patient care.

Aims

This study sought to describe and categorise what and how experienced clinicians (in this case, Australian and New Zealand GPs) conceptualised p-values presented in the manner that it is typically encountered in a medical publication. These results may help inform how to provide targeted statistics education to clinicians.

Method

This mixed methods study used quantitative and qualitative questions embedded in an online questionnaire, delivered through an Australian and New Zealand Facebook group (GPs Down Under) in 2017. It included questions that elaborated the participant's conceptualisation of "p = 0.05" within a scenario, and tested their p-value interpretation ability and confidence.

Results

Participant conceptualisations of p-values were described by six thematic categories. The most common (and erroneous) conceptualisation was that p-values numerically indicated a "real-world probability". No demographic factor, including research experience, seemed associated with better interpretation ability. A confidence-ability gap was detected.

Conclusion

P-value misunderstanding is pervasive and might be influenced by a few central misconceptions. Statistics education for clinicians should consider explicitly addressing the most common misconceptions.